

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/692,257	10/19/2000	Philip W. Miller	38-21(15771)B	7102	
7	590 04/09/2003				
Lawrence M. Lavin, Jr.			EXAMINER		
MONSANTO (Mailzone N2N	В		HASHEMI	HASHEMI. SHAR S	
800 N. Lindber St. Louis, MO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ou Dounn, mo			. 1637		
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/692,257	MILLER ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Shar Hashemi	1637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover s	heet with the correspondence addre	9SS		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/2	<u>14/02</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ Th	is action is non-fina	તી.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			merits is		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 8-13</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considerati	on.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1 and 8-13 is/are rejected.			·		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	ent.			
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in re					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	J.L.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	diffici.				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 l	LS C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i priority under 66 c	7.0.0.3 110(a) (a) o. (i).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receiv	ed.			
<u> </u>					
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	rity documents have	e been received in this National St	age		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copi	es not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).		
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	• •				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO- ther:			

Application/Control Number: 09/692,257

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application, Amendments, and/or Claims

1. The Amendment of Paper No. 11 (11/19/02) has been received and entered in full.

Claims 2-7 were canceled and claims 1 and 8-13 is under examination.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant argues (1) the pending claim 1 is directed to a nucleic acid molecule which encodes a maize protein or fragment thereof comprising a nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1, (2) '974 Patent does not disclose SEQ ID NO: 1. The applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant is directed to the following objections and rejections.

Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code (see page 5, lines 18 and 20; page 7, line 24; page 28, lines 25-27; page 55, line 10). Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.
- 4. The use of the trademark "Microsoft" (page 85, line 5) has been noted in this application.

 Trademarks should be capitalized wherever they appear and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Art Unit: 1637

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1 and 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a "specific and substantial" asserted utility or a well-established utility. The specification asserts that the DNA SEQ ID NO: 1 is can be utilized (1) to generate marker nucleic acids in order to detect polymorphism, and (2) to produce antibodies. Any DNA fragment can serve as a probe. For the reasons set forth above, the claimed invention is not supported by either a "specific and substantial" asserted utility or well-established utility. Any DNA fragment can be utilized to produce antibodies. For the reasons set forth above, the claimed invention is not supported by either a "specific and substantial" asserted utility or well-established utility. Credibility will not be assessed.

Art Unit: 1637

6. Claims 1 and 8-13 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a "specific and substantial" asserted utility or a well-established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art would not know how to use the claimed invention so that it would operate as intended without undue experimentation.

Furthermore regarding variants and fragments of SEQ ID NO: 1 DNA, the problem of predicting protein structure from sequence data and in turn utilizing predicted structural determinations to ascertain functional aspects of the protein is extremely complex. While it is known that many amino acid substitutions are generally possible in any given protein the positions within the protein's sequence where such amino acid substitutions can be made with a reasonable expectation of success are limited. Certain positions in the sequence are critical to the protein's structure/function relationship, e.g. such as various sites or regions directly involved in binding, activity and in providing the correct three-dimensional spatial orientation of binding and active sites. These or other regions may also be critical determinants of antigenicity. These regions can tolerate only relatively conservative substitutions or no substitutions (see Wells, 1990, Biochemistry 29:8509-8517; Ngo et al., 1994, The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, pp. 492-495). However, Applicant has provided little or no guidance beyond the mere presentation of sequence data to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to determine, without undue experimentation, the positions in the protein which are tolerant to change (e.g. such as by amino acid substitutions or deletions), and the nature and extent of changes that can be made in these positions. Although the specification outlines art-recognized procedures for producing and screening for active muteins, this is not adequate guidance as to the

Application/Control Number: 09/692,257

Art Unit: 1637

nature of active derivatives that may be constructed, but is merely an invitation to the artisan to use the current invention as a starting point for further experimentation. Even if an active or binding site were identified in the specification, they may not be sufficient, as the ordinary artisan would immediately recognize that an active or binding site must assume the proper threedimensional configuration to be active, which conformation is dependent upon surrounding residues; therefore substitution of non-essential residues can often destroy activity. The art recognizes that function cannot be predicted from structure alone (Bork, 2000, Genome Research 10:398-400, Skolnick et al., 2000, Trends in Biotech. 18(1): 34-39, especially p. 36 at Box 2; Doerks et al., 1998, Trends in Genetics 14:248-250; Smith et al., 1997, Nature Biotechnology 15:1222-1223; Brenner, 1999, Trends in Genetics 15:132-133; Bork et al., 1996, Trends in Genetics 12:425-427). Due to the large quantity of experimentation necessary to generate the infinite number of derivatives recited in the claims and possibly screen same for activity, the lack of direction/guidance presented in the specification regarding which structural features are required in order to provide activity, the absence of working examples directed to same, the complex nature of the invention, the state of the prior art which establishes the unpredictability of the effects of mutation on protein structure and function, and the breadth of the claims which fail to recite any structural or functional limitations, undue experimentation would be required of the skilled artisan to make and/or use the claimed invention in its full scope.

7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/692,257

Art Unit: 1637

Claim 1 is directed to a maize protein. The breadth of enablement is not commensurate in scope with the claims. The specification discloses very narrow working examples as compared to the wide breadth of the claims at issue. Furthermore, EST technology is highly unpredictable. Thus, the teachings set forth in the specification provides no more than a "plan" or "invitation" for those skilled in the art to experiment using the technology in other types of cells.

SUMMARY

8. No claims allowed.

CONCLUSION

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shar Hashemi whose telephone number is (703) 305-4840 and whose e-mail address is shar.hashemi@uspto.gov. However, the Office cannot guarantee security through the e-mail system nor should official papers be transmitted through this route. The examiner is on flex-time schedule and can be best reached on weekdays from 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached on (703) 308-1119.

Any inquiry of a general nature, matching or filed papers or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the <u>Tracey Johnson</u> for Art Unit 1637 whose telephone number is (703) 305-2982.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal

Application/Control Number: 09/692,257 Page 7

Art Unit: 1637

Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Center numbers for Group 1600 are Voice (703) 308-1235 and Before Final FAX (703) 872-9306 or After Final FAX (703) 308-9307.

Ethan Whisenant, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1634 April 1, 2003